**NARST International Committee**

**Linking Science Educators Program (LSEP)**

**LSEP REVIEWER FORM**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Applicant |  |
| **Country** |  |
| **LSEP Format: (Conference/Workshop/Seminar)** |  |

**LSEP Review process:** Applications will undergo review by a sub-committee of the NARST International Committee. Reviewers will award category points, make an overall recommendation, and be asked to rank-order applications.

**LSEP Review Overview**

**Review Category Points (Max 100 points)**

1. Applicant’s Status……………………………………....10
2. Meets LSEP Requirements ………………………….....15
3. Content and Value of the Proposal………………….......20
4. Contribution to NARST and International Community...20
5. Contribution to Applicant’s Own Country and/or

to country in collaboration…………………….…...20

6 Qualification of Resource Persons ……………………...15

**Total points / Recommendation**

1. Strongly recommend (85-100)
2. Recommend (75-84)
3. Recommend with reservations (60-74)
4. Not Recommend (50-59)
5. Strongly not recommend (under 50)

**LSEP Review Categories and Review Comments**

**Directions: Descriptions for each of the review categories follow. Use the guidelines to award points for each category, and elaborate your review in the place for comments.**

* 1. **Applicant’s status (10 points)**
     1. Applicant is a CURRENT member of NARST
     2. Applicant is currently in a position to facilitate/lead the LSEP project in her/his country
     3. Applicant shows strong competence in potential to conduct proposed LSEP project

□ all fit well (7-10 points) □ partially fit (3-6 points) □ all do NOT fit well (0-2 points)

Comments:

* 1. **Meets LSEP Requirements (15 points)**
     1. Project addresses an emergent need for improving science education quality (1-8 points)
     2. Project to be conducted in/with economically disadvantaged or underrepresented countries (1-7 points)

Comments:

* 1. **Content and Value of the Proposal (20 points)**
     1. Project clearly addresses value of promoting science education (1-7 points)
     2. Planned activity is feasible (1-7 points)
     3. Anticipated outcomes are achievable (1-6 points)

Comments:

* 1. **Contribution to NARST and International Community (20 points)**
     1. Fulfills the LSEP/ NARST mission of promoting quality of teaching and learning in science (1-10 points)
     2. Fulfill the LSEP/ NARST mission in communicating with researchers internationally (1-10 points)

Comments:

* 1. **Contribution to Applicant’s Country (25 points)**
     1. Fulfills the LSEP mission of promoting quality of teaching and learning in science (1-7 points)
     2. Fulfill the LSEP mission in communicating with researchers (1-6 points)
     3. Potential to influence science education policy (1-6 points)
     4. Involves various participants/stakeholders to participate in the activity (1-6 points)

Comments:

* 1. **Qualification of Resource Persons (10 points)**
     1. Background and experience of resource persons in science education (1-5 points)
     2. Appropriateness of expertise relative to the proposed activity (1-5 points)

Comments: